A study on the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in coastal Karnataka

Chythra R. Rao, Veena G. Kamath, Avinash Shetty, Asha Kamath

Department of Community Medicine, Bio-statistics, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal - 576 104, Karnataka, India

Aim: To estimate the prevalence and study the socio-demographic correlates of type 2 diabetes among adults aged 30 years and above. Setting and **Design:** A cross-sectional community-based survey, among individuals of either sex, aged 30 years and above was carried out in the field practice area of a medical college. Methods and Materials: The study was carried out on 1,239 respondents, using a twostage, stratified, random sampling technique. Data was collected by a personal, face-to-face interview followed by blood sugar estimation using a glucometer. Statistical Analysis: Was performed by using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 11.5. Results: The overall prevalence of diabetes was 16%. Self-reported diabetes was 11.2%. while 4.8% of previously normal people were found to have high fasting capillary blood glucose levels. Increasing age showed two-fold, four-fold, and six-fold higher odds for 40 - 49, 50 - 59, and ≥ 60 years age group, respectively, as compared to the 30 - 39 year age group (P < 0.001). Nineteen percent of the males had diabetes, (OR = 1.38, 95% CI = 1.01 - 1.88). In the high socioeconomic strata, 32% of the subjects had diabetes (P = 0.018 unadjusted odds ratio 3.29, 95% CI = 1.40 - 7.74). **Conclusion:** The high prevalence of diabetes in this coastal population needs further evaluation.

KEY WORDS: Prevalence, socio-demographic correlates, type 2 diabetes

DOI: 10.4103/0973-3930.62597

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) has reached epidemic

Correspondence to: **Dr. Chythra R. Rao**, Department of Community Medicine, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal - 576 104, Karnataka, India, E-mail: chythra.raj@manipal.edu

Manuscript received: 23.02.2009; Revision accepted: 04.03.2010

proportions globally.^[1] The World Health Organization (WHO) estimated that there were 135 million diabetic individuals in the year 1995 and it has been projected that this number will increase to 300 million by the year 2025.^[2] WHO has projected that the maximum increase in the number of diabetics would occur in India. Considering the large population and increasing prevalence of diabetes mellitus of nearly 33 million diabetic subjects, the burden of diabetes in India could be enormous.^[3] With a high genetic predisposition and high susceptibility to environmental insults, the Indian population faces a higher risk of diabetes and its associated complications.^[4] In the absence of an efficient non-communicable disease (NCD) surveillance system in our country, the only reliable method of obtaining disease estimates is to conduct field studies. Epidemiological studies are urgently needed in each region of India to have a baseline against which future trends in riskfactor levels can be assessed, and preventive strategies planned. There is paucity of such data in the state and the literature review does not reveal many such studies from our area. In view of addressing the demand for this need, the present study was undertaken, with the objective of determining the prevalence of diabetes and to study the association between the various correlates for diabetes.

Materials and Methods

We conducted a cross-sectional, community-based survey over a period of 15 months (from August 2006 – October 2007), among individuals of either sex, aged 30 years and above. The study was carried out in the field practice area of a medical college in Karnataka. The field practice area covered a population of 45,587 living in 7,164 families spread out in 11 villages. It was situated along the coastal area in the southern part of India. The population in these villages was homogeneous in terms of occupation, socioeconomic status, and food habits and the findings of one village could be extrapolated to all the other villages. The study population included all men and women aged 30 years and above. Individuals less than 30 years were not included, due to the low prevalence of type 2 diabetes in this age group.^[5,6] Study variables included sociodemographic characteristics, physical activity, blood pressure, anthropometric measurements, family history of diabetes, and blood glucose measurements. Pregnant or lactating women up to 12 weeks post-partum were excluded from the study, due to the possible impaired glucose tolerance status in this group, due to pregnancy.

Considering a prevalence of 3.8% for diabetes in rural adults, with an allowable error of 30%, and 95% confidence level, the estimated sample size was 1,081. A non-response rate of 20% required a sample of 1,351 to be studied. A two-stage, stratified probability, proportional to the size sampling technique was used to select the study sample. In the first stage, two villages were selected from the field practice area based on the investigator's convenience. In the second stage, random samples of the study subjects were drawn from each locality of the selected village, proportional to their population sizes. Institutional ethical committee clearance was obtained prior to the initiation of the study. The identification of the localities and households was done with the help of a field auxiliary nurse midwife (ANM). The selection of the lane and first house, within the locality was done by random selection, by employing the procedure described in the cluster sampling technique used for evaluation of universal immunization coverage.^[7] Starting from the first house onwards all the houses within the lane were covered continuously, keeping toward the left. This procedure was continued until the sample size for each locality was obtained. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. During house visits, the objectives of the study were explained to the eligible household members and data was collected by personal, face-to-face interviews, using a predesigned questionnaire. The questionnaire included details on socio-demographic variables, past / family history of diabetes, and physical activity status.^[8] The socioeconomic status was assessed using the modified Uday-Parikh scale.

Weight was recorded using a standard weighing scale (Krups weighing scale, New Delhi, India) that was kept on a firm horizontal surface. Weight was recorded to the nearest 500 gm. Height was recorded using a measuring tape to the nearest 1 cm. Subjects were requested to stand upright without shoes with their back against the wall, heels together and looking forward. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the formula, weight (kg) / height (m²). Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm at the mid-point between the costal margin and iliac crest using a non-stretchable measuring tape, at the end of normal expiration with the subject standing erect in a relaxed position, feet 25 - 30 cm apart. Hip circumference was measured at the level of the greater trochanters (widest portion of the hip) to the nearest 0.1 cm with a measuring tape, while the subject was standing with the arms by the side and feet together. Waist-hip ratio was calculated as the ratio of waist circumference over hip circumference.^[9]

A person was considered to be obese if body mass index (BMI) ≥ 25 kg/m² and overweight when BMI ≥ 23 kg/m². Central / abdominal obesity was considered to be present when waist circumference ≥ 90 cm in males and ≥ 80 cm in females. Waist hip ratio of > 1.0 for males and > 0.85 for females was defined as truncal obesity.^[10,11]

Blood pressure was measured on the right arm in a sitting posture, with the subject in a relaxed state. Standardized mercury sphygmomanometer (Diamond deluxe BP apparatus, Pune, India) with adult size cuff was used. The first appearance of (phase 1 of korotkoff sounds) sound was used to define Systolic Blood Pressure (SBP). The disappearance of sound (phase 5) was used to define Diastolic Blood Pressure (DBP). Two readings were taken five minutes apart and the average of the two readings was taken as the final blood pressure reading. A person was considered to be a hypertensive if he / she was an already diagnosed case of hypertension and / or on treatment or with a current SBP of \geq 140 mm Hg or DBP \geq 90 mm Hg (JNC VII criteria).^[12]

Random blood glucose (RBG) for the subjects was estimated at the time of the interview by using a standardized digital glucometer (Accu Chek, Roche diagnostics, Germany), using the capillary finger prick method. Subsequently, on a pre-informed date, fasting blood glucose (FBG) estimation was done for those subjects in whom $RBG \ge 110 \text{ mg/dl}$ was obtained. RBGestimation was done even for people with diabetes, irrespective of their RBG values, they were not tested further. A person was considered to be having diabetes if he / she was an already diagnosed case of diabetes and / or on treatment or current fasting capillary blood glucose \geq 110 mg/dl. (Fasting being defined as no caloric intake for at least eight hours).^[13,14] Blood pressure and blood glucose estimation was done for the individuals of the household irrespective of whether they had diabetes / hypertension. Rao, et al.: A cross-sectional study on diabetes

Individuals with either a parent or a sibling (brother or sister) having diabetes, were considered to have a positive family history. Eligible subjects unavailable during the first house visit were approached on another pre-informed date as per their convenience. Even after two such visits if the subject was non-compliant, then he / she was considered as a non-respondent.

Statistical Methods

Prevalence of diabetes and risk factors of diabetes are presented as percentages. A Chi-square test for trend was used to assess the trends in the prevalence of diabetes among different age groups, while a chi-square test was used to study the association of prevalence of diabetes and the different correlates. To study the impact of the selected socio-demographic factors, anthropometric measurements (BMI) and other risk factors, on the prevalence of diabetes, we performed multiple logistic regression analysis, with diabetes as a dichotomous outcome, and age, sex, religion, occupation, socioeconomic status, physical activity, positive family history of diabetes, history of current hypertension, BMI, and central obesity as independent variables. All statistical analysis were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 11.5. A P-value < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

The baseline characteristics of the study subjects are as shown in Table 1. The study included 1,419 subjects with a response rate of 87.3%. The total sample studied was 1,239, of which 434 (35%) were males and 805 (65%) females. There was inadequate representation of males in the study sample as most of them were employed overseas or in the neighboring states and many were involved in occupations such as fishing and unskilled daily wage labor and thus, were not available during the survey. Of the total study subjects, 85.6% were Hindus, 8.6% Muslims, and 5.7% Christians. The literate proportion in the sample was 81.2%, out of whom 75.9% were females and 91% were males. The socioeconomic status assessed by the modified Uday-Parikh scale for rural areas showed that 70.1% belonged to the middle class, 27.6% to the lower class, and 2.3% to the upper class. A sedentary lifestyle was observed in 11.1% of the subjects, while 41.8% were engaged in moderate physical activity. Positive family history of diabetes was present in 26% of the individuals. Over half (57.3%) of the study population had a normal BMI, while the overweight category included 14.6% of the subjects. In the study,

Variables	Males	Females	Total
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
	N = 434	N = 805	N = 1239
Age group (Yrs.)			
30 – 39	115 (26.5)	252 (31.3)	367 (29.6)
40 - 49	123 (28.4)	183 (22.8)	306 (24.6)
50 – 59	77(17.7)	138 (17.1)	215 (17.4)
≥ 60	119 (27.4)	232 (28.8)	351 (28.4)
Literacy			
Illiterate	39 (9.0)	194 (24.1)	233 (18.8)
Primary (1 – 4)	68 (15.7)	128 (15.9)	196 (15.8)
Secondary (5 – 12)	282 (65.0)	452 (56.1)	734 (59.3)
Graduation and above	45 (10.3)	31 (3.9)	76 (6.1)
Occupation			
Unskilled	82 (18.9)	219 (27.3)	301 (24.3)
Unemployed and Retired	117 (27.0)	6 (0.7)	123 (9.9)
Skilled	178 (41.0)	26 (3.3)	204 (16.5)
Service	57 (13.1)	9 (1.1)	66 (5.3)
Housewife	0	545 (67.7)	545 (44.0)
Physical activity			
Sedentary	70 (16.1)	67 (8.3)	137 (11.1)
Light	131 (30.3)	372 (46.3)	503 (40.6)
Moderate	166 (38.2)	352 (43.7)	518 (41.8)
Heavy	67 (15.4)	14 (1.7)	81 (6.5)
Family history of diabetes	117 (27)	205 (25.5)	322 (26.0)
BMI*			
< 22.9	241 (55.5)	467 (58.2)	708 (57.3)
23.0 <mark>-</mark> 24.9	75 (17.3)	106 (13.2)	181 (14.6)
≥ 25	118 (27.2)	229 (28.6)	347 (28.1)
Waist and hip measurements			
Central obesity	134 (30.9)	562 (69.8)	696 (56.2)
Truncal obesity	58 (13.4)	711 (88.3)	769 (62.1)

*BMI – Body Mass Index (kg/m²)

28.1% of the individuals were found to be obese when BMI was used as the defining criteria, but over half of the subjects had abdominal and truncal obesity (56.2 and 62.1%, respectively).

The overall prevalence of diabetes was found to be 16%. Among males, 18.8% were found to have diabetes, as compared to 14.4% among females. Self-reported diabetes was 11.2%, while 4.8% of previously normal people were found to have high fasting capillary blood glucose levels (new cases), based on the screening criteria employed.

Chi-square for trend showed significant association between increasing age and diabetes (χ^2_{trend} -71.21, *P* < 0.001). The Chi-square test used to study the association between the prevalence of diabetes among those with

positive family history those with different physical activity status; and those found to be obese were found to be statistically significant (P < 0.001).

Results of the multivariate logistic regression model that examined the cross-sectional correlates of diabetes are presented in Table 2. Increasing age, Muslims, people engaged in service jobs or skilled profession, sedentary lifestyle, positive family history of diabetes, history of current hypertension, and being obese, as defined by BMI, and those having central obesity were associated with a high risk of having diabetes.

Table 2: Summary table of significant correlates for diabetes						
Variablea	Unadjusted OR	95% C.I	Adjusted OR	95% C.I		
Age group (yrs)						
30 – 39	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
40 - 49	2.67	1.51 – 4.73	2.05	1.11 – 3.76		
50 – 59	4.71	2.67 – 8.32	3.76	2.01 – 7.00		
≥ 60	6.89	4.10 – 11.57	5.47	2.90 - 10.30		
Gender						
Female	1.00	1.00	-	-		
Male	1.38	1.01 – 1.88	-	-		
Religion						
Hindu	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Muslim	2.47	1.57 – 3.89	2.08	1. <mark>23 – 3.</mark> 50		
Christian	1.91	1.07 – 3.38	1.58	0. <mark>84 – 2.9</mark> 9		
Occupation						
Unskilled	1.00	1.00	1.00	<mark>1.0</mark> 0		
Unemployed	5.04	2.81 – 9.05	1.31	0.6 <mark>3 –</mark> 2.73		
Skilled	2.44	1.38 – 4.33	2.22	1.18 – 4.17		
Service	4.05	1.99 – 8.26	2.94	1.29 – 6.69		
Housewife	2.57	1.58 – 4.19	0.84	0.47 – 1.50		
Socioeconomic s	tatus					
Low	1.00	1.00	-	-		
Middle	1.40	0.97 – 2.02	-	-		
High	3.29	1.40 – 7.74	-	-		
Physical activity						
Heavy	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Moderate	2.24	0.78 – 6.36	1.50	0.49 – 4.56		
Light	4.54	1.62 – 12.71	2.22	0.71 – 6.90		

*BMI – Body Mass Index (kg/m²)

Sedentary

history of

diabetes

Currently

< 22.9

≥ 25

BMI

hypertensive

23.0 - 24.9

Central obesity

Positive family

9.10

1.85

3.40

1.00

1.88

3.43

3.01

3.13 - 26.47

1.34 - 2.56

2.46 - 4.71

1.00

1.19 - 2.98

2.44 - 4.83

2.12 - 4.29

3.59

1.84

1 66

1.00

1.15

1.88

2.30

1.07 - 11.99

1.26 - 2.68

1.14 - 2.42

1.00

0.68 - 1.95

1.19 – 2.98

1.41 – 3.73

Discussion

Epidemiological data from different parts of India show a rising prevalence of diabetes. The clinical diagnosis of diabetes is often prompted by symptoms such as increased thirst and urine volume, recurrent infections, unexplained weight loss and in severe cases drowsiness and coma. For clinical purposes, an Oral Glucose Tolerance Test (OGTT) to establish diagnostic status need only be considered if casual blood glucose values lie in the uncertain range (i.e., between the levels that establish or exclude diabetes) and fasting blood glucose levels are below those which establish the diagnosis of diabetes.^[13] Oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT), although still a valid mechanism for diagnosing DM, is not recommended as part of the routine care, as OGTT may be difficult to perform in field studies and the cost and demands on participants' time may be excessive. Therefore, for epidemiological studies, the revised criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes emphasize fasting plasma glucose (FPG) as a reliable and convenient test for diagnosing DM in asymptomatic individuals.^[15]

Our study was planned as a feasibility study, the first of its kind in our taluk, to determine community-based prevalence of the rising problem of diabetes. In a setting of limited resources and socio-demographic characteristics of the local population, FPG was considered as a reliable and convenient test for diagnosing DM in asymptomatic individuals.

Our study findings were in contrast to the findings reported by other Indian studies, with respect to the high prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and the proportion of the known and newly detected cases of diabetes.^[16-23] In the present study, the criteria employed for screening (fasting plasma glucose) FPG >126 mg/dl, equivalent to the capillary value of 110 mg/dl, differed from the earlier reported data, which had employed FPG >140 mg/dl. Secondly> 30-year-old individuals were assessed in our study, while the others had mostly included > 20-year-old subjects, thereby, the overall prevalence reported for diabetes would be less, as the prevalence of diabetes in the 20-30 year age group is low (1 - 3%).^[5,6] An attempt to quantify lifestyle changes contributing to the disease status was not made in the present study. A combination of these factors could be responsible for the glaring difference in the reported prevalence. The recent CURES study, conducted in urban south India has reported a prevalence of 15.5%,^[24] which is comparable to our study findings. A study from Saudi Rao, et al.: A cross-sectional study on diabetes

Arabia also reported similar findings, but the females with diabetes outnumbered the males.^[25]

Male preponderance and age-wise increase in prevalence noted in our study have been reported previously on numerous studies.^[5,6,19,22,26]

In this study multivariate logistic regression analysis identified increasing age, Muslims, a skilled or professional job, sedentary lifestyle, positive family history of diabetes, history of current hypertension, and being overweight or obese as significant correlates for diabetes, among the different variables considered to be significant, which was in conformity with previously reported cross-sectional data.^[16-20]

Our study was a community-based, cross-sectional study, the first of its kind in our area to the best of our knowledge, as there is no reported data on the prevalence of diabetes. Estimation of blood glucose levels was done by a single trained investigator in order to have a uniform pattern of sample collection. The authors do agree that there was inadequate representation of males in the study sample and that lower precision levels were selected for sample size calculation. An attempt to quantify the lifestyle changes was also not made in the present study. Although we accept these shortcomings, the house-to-house coverage of over a thousand individuals and the collection of early morning fasting blood sample was the best that could be done with the limited resources. There is a need to establish the base-line risk of population, in order to plan for intervention strategies. This study is a stepping stone in that direction.

Conclusion

A high prevalence of diabetes was noted in this coastal population. It is also true that changes have been noted in the lifestyle of the population, which could have contributed to the above finding. There have not been similar studies in the past in the same region, against which comparisons could be made. Therefore, future research in this direction is the need of the hour.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. RSP Rao and Dr. Pawan Kumar, Professors in the Department of Community Medicine for their timely support, advice, and critical appraisal of the research project. We also acknowledge the invaluable help regarding statistical analysis and data presentation rendered by Dr. Sreekumaran Nair, Professor, Department of Biostatistics, Manipal University.

References

- Menon VU, Kumar KV, Gilchrist A, Sugathan TN, Sundaram KR, Nair V, et al. Prevalence of known and undetected diabetes and associated risk factors in central Kerala – ADEPS. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2006;74:289-94.
- Iyer SR. Type 2 diabetes express highway, where is the 'U' turn? J Assoc Physicians India 2003;51:495-500.
- Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Kapur A, Vijay V, Mohan V, Das AK, *et al*. High prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in India: National Urban Diabetes Survey. Diabetologia 2001;44:1094-101.
- 4. Ramachandran A. Epidemiology of diabetes in India: Three decades of research. J Assoc Physicians India 2005;53:34-8.
- Mohan V, Sandeep S, Deepa R, Shah B, Varghese C. Epidemiology of type 2 diabetes: Indian scenario. Indian J Med Res 2007;125:217-30.
- Mohan V, Shanthirani CS, Deepa R. Glucose intolerance (diabetes and IGT) in a selected South Indian population with special reference to family history, obesity and lifestyle factors – the Chennai Urban Population Study (CUPS 14). J Assoc Physicians India 2003;51:771-7.
- Training for middle level managers. Expanded program on immunization World Health Organization. Available from: http:// www.whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/1991/WHO_EPI_MLM_91.1.pdf [last accessed on 2007 Aug 12].
- Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Baskar AD, Mary S, Kumar CK, Selvam S, et al. Temporal changes in prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance associated with life style transition occurring in the rural population in India. Diabetologia 2004;47:860-5.
- Report of World Health Organization consultation. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; WHO Tech Rep Ser No. 854, 1995.
- World Health Organization (WHO) 2000. The Asia Pacific Perspective. Redefining Obesity and Its Treatment. International Association for the Study of Obesity and International Obesity Task Force. International Diabetes Institute: Melbourne: Health Communications. Available from: http://www.wpro.who.int/ NR/rdonlyres/0A35147B-B1D5-45A6-9FF2-F7D86608A4DE/0/ Redefiningobesity.pdf [last cited on 22 Jul 2009].
- Report of World Health Organization consultation. Obesity: Preventing and managing the global epidemic. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; WHO Tech Rep Ser. No. 894, 2000.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL Jr, *et al.* The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure: The JNC 7 Report. JAMA 2003;289:2560-72.
- Report of a WHO consultation. Part 1: Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications. WHO; 1999.
- Somannavar S, Ganesan A, Deepa M, Datta M, Mohan V. Random capillary blood glucose cut points for diabetes and pre-diabetes derived from community based opportunistic screening in India. Diabetes Care 2009;32:641-3.
- 15. Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Care 1997;20:1183-97.
- 16. Wander GS, Khurana SB, Gulati R, Sachar RK, Gupta RK, Khurana S, *et al.* Epidemiology of coronary heart disease in a rural Punjab

population: Prevalence and correlation with various risk factors. Indian Heart J 1994;46:319-23.

- 17. Sadeghi M, Roohafza HR, Kelishadi R. High blood pressure and associated cardiovascular risk factors in Iran: Isfahan Healthy Heart Programme. Med J Malaysia 2004;59:460-7.
- Misra A, Pandey RM, Devi JR, Sharma R, Vikram NK, Khanna N. High prevalence of diabetes, obesity and dyslipidaemia in urban slum population in northern India. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001;25:1722-9.
- 19. Gupta A, Gupta R, Sarna M, Rastogi S, Gupta VP, Kothari K. Prevalence of diabetes, impaired fasting glucose and insulin resistance syndrome in an urban Indian population. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2003;61:69-76.
- Kutty VR, Soman CR, Joseph A, Pisharody R, Vijayakumar K. Type 2 diabetes in southern Kerala: Variation in prevalence among geographic divisions within a region. Natl Med J India 2000;13:287-92.
- Khatib NM, Quazi ZS, Gaidhane AM, Waghmare TS, Goyal RC. Risk factors of type-2 diabetes mellitus in rural Wardha: A community based study. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries 2008;28:79-82.
- 22. Basavanagowdappa H, Prabhakar AK, Prasannaraj P, Gurudev

KC, Virupaksha, Suma. Study of prevalence of diabetes mellitus and impaired fasting glucose in a rural population. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries 2005;25:98-101.

- 23. Purty AJ, Vedapriya DR, Bazroy J, Gupta S, Cherian J, Vishwanathan M. Prevalence of diagnosed diabetes in an urban area of Puducherry, India: Time for preventive action. Int J Diabetes Dev Ctries 2009;29:6-11.
- 24. Mohan V, Deepa M, Deepa R, Shanthirani CS, Farooq S, Ganesan A, *et al.* Secular trends in the prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in urban south India: The Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES-17). Diabetologia 2006;49:1175-8.
- Rahman Al-Nuaim A. High prevalence of metabolic risk factors for cardiovascular diseases among Saudi population, aged 30-64 years. Int J Cardiol 1997;62:227-35.
- Amoah AG, Owusu SK, Adjei S. Diabetes in Ghana: A community based prevalence study in Greater Accra. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2002;56:197-20.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared



Author Help: Reference checking facility

The manuscript system (www.journalonweb.com) allows the authors to check and verify the accuracy and style of references. The tool checks the references with PubMed as per a predefined style. Authors are encouraged to use this facility, before submitting articles to the journal.

- The style as well as bibliographic elements should be 100% accurate, to help get the references verified from the system. Even a
 single spelling error or addition of issue number/month of publication will lead to an error when verifying the reference.
- Example of a correct style
 Sheahan P, O'leary G, Lee G, Fitzgibbon J. Cystic cervical metastases: Incidence and diagnosis using fine needle aspiration biopsy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2002;127:294-8.
- Only the references from journals indexed in PubMed will be checked.
- Enter each reference in new line, without a serial number.
- Add up to a maximum of 15 references at a time.
- If the reference is correct for its bibliographic elements and punctuations, it will be shown as CORRECT and a link to the correct article in PubMed will be given.
- If any of the bibliographic elements are missing, incorrect or extra (such as issue number), it will be shown as INCORRECT and link to
 possible articles in PubMed will be given.